

**STABILITY ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM OF ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS FROM A HOTEL MODEL**

M. ARUNKUMAR<sup>1</sup>, S. TAMILARASAN<sup>2</sup>, E. SATHYA<sup>3</sup>, T. NAMACHIVAYAM<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,  
 Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.

<sup>1</sup> drarun4maths@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> tamlarasana968@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> drtnamachivayam204@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanmuga Industries Arts and Science College,  
 Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.

<sup>3</sup> sathya24mathematics@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we explore the Ulam - Hyers stability of system of additive functional equations from a hotel model in Banach Space via classical Hyers Method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equations initiated from a question of S.M. Ulam [22] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. D.H. Hyers [14] contributed a first positive partial reply to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by T. Aoki [2] for additive mappings, Th.M. Rassias [21] and J.M. Rassias [18] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of all the overhead effects was achieved by P. Gavruta [13] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias method. In 2008, a special case of Gavruta's theorem for the unbounded Cauchy difference was obtained by Ravi et al., [20]] by considering the summation of both the sum and the product of two norms in the spirit of Rassias approach.

The well-known additive functional equation is

$$H(u + v) = H(u) + H(v) \tag{1}$$

In 1821, it was first solved by A.L. Cauchy in the class of continuous real-valued functions. It is often called additive Cauchy functional equation in honor of Cauchy (see[17]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* :39B52, 39B72, 39B82.

*Key words and phrases.* :Additive functional equation, Ulam stability, Hyers method.

The various forms of additive functional equations

$$f(2x - y) + f(x - 2y) = 3f(x) - 3f(y) \tag{2}$$

$$f(2x \pm y \pm z) = f(x \pm y) + f(x \pm z) \tag{3}$$

$$f(x) + f(x) = f(2x) \tag{4}$$

$$f(y) = f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y-z}{2}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$f(x) + f(y+z) - f(x+y) = f(z); \|f(x) + f(y+z) - f(x+y)\| \leq \|f(z)\| \tag{6}$$

$$f(ax+y) - f(x-ay) = (a-1)f(x) + (1+a)f(y) \tag{7}$$

were conferred by D.O. Lee [16], M. Arunkumar [3, 4, 5, 6, 19]. The solution and stability of several additive functional equations were discussed in [1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 11, 15].

Usually, we take food on the hotels with my friends. One day we got a though that we want to find which hotel serves fine and tasty food and also we prefer to inform my friends about the hotels. The quality of the hotel observed by the quality of food, service of the weightier and some may give tips. We found four types of the following Hotels.

$H_1$  : We went to a first hotel to take food and ordered food. Servers first gave some water and a handful of chips to eat till arriving the food. After some initially time the food came and they served charmingly. The food was very tasty. So we decided to help for them and then gave some tips for them.

$H_2$  : We went to second hotel to take food and ordered food. The food was tasty and they served pleasantly. But the impression was not as in the first hotel. So we did not help them and we avoided to give some tips.

$H_3$  : Later we went to a third hotel to take food. But this one was self-serviced. So there were no servers and there was no need of giving tips. Here also the food was fine.

$H_4$  : Finally we went to fourth hotel. As usual we ordered food. Before arrival of food, the servers take care us. But the food was not tasty and we did not like it. Even though they served in good manner we did not gave any tips for them.

Based on the above data, let us have the following assumptions:  $u_{i1}$  denotes the foods;  $u_{i2}$  denotes quality;  $u_{i3}$  denotes service;  $u_{i4}$  denotes giving tips; respectively. If it is satisfactory it denotes + (PLUS) and not satisfactory it denotes - (MINUS).

Now, we collect the following data, with the help of Binary digits, If good we give 1 (one) and Bad we give 0 (zero). (for food, quality, service and tips and  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .)

Hotel $H_i$	Food ( $u_{i1}$ )	Quality ( $u_{i2}$ )	Service ( $u_{i3}$ )	Tips ( $u_{i4}$ )	Total Sum	Preference
$H_1$	1	1	1	1	4	1 *
$H_2$	1	1	1	0	3	2 *
$H_3$	1	1	0	0	2	3
$H_4$	1	0	1	0	2	4

**Table 1.1**

The above data can be transformed into system of additive functional equations as follows.

$$H_1(u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4) = H_1(u_1) + H_1(u_2) + H_1(u_3) + H_1(u_4) \tag{8}$$

$$H_2(u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - u_4) = H_2(u_1) + H_2(u_2) + H_2(u_3) - H_2(u_4) \tag{9}$$

$$H_3(u_1 + u_2 - u_3 - u_4) = H_3(u_1) + H_3(u_2) - H_3(u_3) - H_3(u_4) \tag{10}$$

$$H_4(u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - u_4) = H_4(u_1) - H_4(u_2) + H_4(u_3) - H_4(u_4) \tag{11}$$

In this paper, we explore the Ulam - Hyers stability of system of additive functional equations (8), (9), (10), (11) in Banach Space via classical Hyers Method.

## 2. GENERAL SOLUTION

In this section, the authors derived the general solution of additive functional equation (8), (9), (10), (11) by assuming  $N$  and  $M$  as real vector space.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $H : N \rightarrow M$  bring about the functional equation (1) if and only if  $H : N \rightarrow M$  brings the functional equations (8), (9), (10) and (11) respectively.*

*Proof.* For all  $u, v, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44} \in N$ .

Suppose  $H : N \rightarrow M$  fulfilling the additive functional equation (1.1). Alternating  $(u, v)$  by  $(0, 0), (u, u), (u, 2u)$  and  $(-u, u)$  in (1.1) and for any  $c > 0$ , we obtain

$$H(0) = 0; H(2u) = 2H(u); H(3u) = 3H(u); H(-u) = -H(u); H(cu) = cH(u); \forall u \in N. \tag{1}$$

Let us take  $u$  by  $u_{11} + u_{12}$  and  $v$  by  $u_{13} + u_{14}$  in(1.1) and take  $H = H_1$ , we obtain (1.8).

Put  $(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14})$  by  $(0, 0, 0, u_{24})$  in (1.8) and take  $H_1 = H_2$ , we get

$$H_2(-u_{24}) = -H_2(u_{24}); \forall u_{24} \in N. \tag{2}$$

Let us take  $(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14})$  by  $(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, -u_{24})$  in (1.8), using (2.2) and take  $H_1 = H_2$ , we arrive (1.9).

Substituting  $(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, -u_{24})$  by  $(0, 0, -u_{33}, 0), (0, 0, 0, u_{34})$  in (1.9) and take  $H_2 = H_3$ , we have

$$H_3(-u_{33}) = -H_3(u_{33}), H_3(-u_{44}) = -H_3(u_{44}); \forall u_{33}, u_{44} \in N. \tag{3}$$

Let us take  $(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24})$  by  $(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, -u_{34})$  in (1.9), using (2.3) and take  $H_2 = H_3$ , we arrive (1.10).

Substituting  $(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, -u_{34})$  by  $(0, -u_{42}, 0, 0), (0, 0, -u_{43}, 0), (0, 0, 0, u_{44})$  in (1.10) and take  $H_3 = H_4$ , we get

$$H_4(-u_{42}) = -H_4(u_{42}), H_4(-u_{43}) = -H_4(u_{43}), H_4(-u_{44}) = -H_4(u_{44}); \forall u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44} \in N. \tag{4}$$

Let us take  $(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34})$  by  $(u_{41}, -u_{42}, -u_{43}, -u_{44})$  in (1.10). Using (2.4) and take  $H_3 = H_4$ , we obtain (1.11).

Putting  $(u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44})$  as  $(u, 0, v, 0)$  and  $H_4$  by  $H$  in (1.11), we arrive (1.1) as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** From the above theorem, we see that all the functional equation (1.1), (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) are equivalent with respect to its solutions.

3. STABILITY RESULTS: HYERS DIRECT METHOD

To provide stability results for (8), (9), (10), (11) assume that  $R$  and  $S$  be Banach spaces for derive the stability results.

From Table 1.1, the quantity of the hotel observed by the quality of food, service of the weightier and some give tips. If we are not satisfy in tips, service of weightier, quality of food we take that variable as zero (0) and if we satisfy all we give equal preference to all variables to prove the stability results.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $p = \pm 1$ . If  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 : R \rightarrow S$  are functions with inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_1(u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4) - H_1(u_1) - H_1(u_2) - H_1(u_3) - H_1(u_4)\| \\ & \leq \tau_1(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_2(u_{21} + u_{22} + u_{23} - u_{24}) - H_2(u_{21}) - H_2(u_{22}) - H_2(u_{23}) + H_2(u_{24})\| \\ & \leq \tau_2(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_3(u_{31} + u_{32} - u_{33} - u_{34}) - H_3(u_{31}) - H_3(u_{32}) + H_3(u_{33}) + H_3(u_{34})\| \\ & \leq \tau_3(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_4(u_{41} - u_{42} + u_{43} - u_{44}) - H_4(u_{41}) + H_4(u_{42}) - H_4(u_{43}) + H_4(u_{44})\| \\ & \leq \tau_4(u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 : R \rightarrow S$  are function fulfilling the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_1(4^{sp}u_{11}, 4^{sp}u_{12}, 4^{sp}u_{13}, 4^{sp}u_{14})}{4^{sp}} = 0; \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_2(3^{sp}u_{21}, 3^{sp}u_{22}, 3^{sp}u_{23}, 3^{sp}u_{24})}{3^{sp}} = 0; \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_3(2^{sp}u_{31}, 2^{sp}u_{32}, 2^{sp}u_{33}, 2^{sp}u_{34})}{2^{sp}} = 0; \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_4(2^{sp}u_{41}, 4^{2sp}u_{42}, 2^{sp}u_{43}, 2^{sp}u_{44})}{2^{sp}} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for all  $u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44} \in R$ . Then there exists a unique additive mappings  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 : R \rightarrow S$  fulfilling the functional equations (8), (9), (10), (11) and

$$\|H_1(u_1) - M_1(u_1)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q=\frac{1-p}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1(4^{qp}u_1, 4^{qp}u_1, 4^{qp}u_1, 4^{qp}u_1)}{4^{qp}}; \forall u_1 \in R \tag{6}$$

$$\|H_2(u_2) - M_2(u_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q=\frac{1-p}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_2(3^{qp}u_2, 3^{qp}u_2, 3^{qp}u_2, 0)}{3^{qp}}; \forall u_2 \in R \tag{7}$$

$$\|H_3(u_3) - M_3(u_3)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=\frac{1-p}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_3(2^{qp}u_3, 2^{qp}u_3, 0, 0)}{2^{qp}}; \forall u_3 \in R \tag{8}$$

$$\|H_4(u_4) - M_4(u_4)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=\frac{1-p}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_4(2^{qp}u_4, 0, 2^{qp}u_4, 0)}{2^{qp}}; \forall u_4 \in R; \tag{9}$$

where

$$M_1(u_1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_1(4^{sp}u_1)}{4^{sp}}; \forall u_1 \in R; \quad M_2(u_2) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_2(3^{sp}u_2)}{3^{sp}}; \forall u_2 \in R$$

$$M_3(u_3) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_3(2^{sp}u_3)}{2^{sp}}; \forall u_3 \in R; \quad M_4(u_4) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_4(2^{sp}u_4)}{2^{sp}}; \forall u_4 \in R;$$

respectively.

*Proof.* Alternating

$$(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}) = (u_1, u_1, u_1, u_1) \text{ in (3.1) ;}$$

$$(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}) = (u_2, u_2, u_2, 0) \text{ in (3.2) ;}$$

$$(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}) = (u_3, u_3, 0, 0) \text{ in (3.3) ;}$$

$$(u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44}) = (u_4, 0, u_4, 0) \text{ in (3.4),}$$

we obtain

$$\|H_1(4u_1) - 4H_1(u_1)\| \leq \tau_1(u_1, u_1, u_1, u_1); u_1 \in R; \tag{10}$$

$$\|H_2(3u_2) - 3H_2(u_2)\| \leq \tau_2(u_2, u_2, u_2, 0); u_2 \in R; \tag{11}$$

$$\|H_3(2u_3) - 2H_3(u_3)\| \leq \tau_3(u_3, u_3, 0, 0); u_3 \in R; \tag{12}$$

$$\|H_4(2u_4) - 2H_4(u_4)\| \leq \tau_4(u_4, 0, u_4, 0); u_4 \in R. \tag{13}$$

The above inequalities can be rewritten as

$$\left\| \frac{H_1(4u_1)}{4} - H_1(u_1) \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_1(u_1, u_1, u_1, u_1)}{4}; u_1 \in R; \tag{14}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_2(3u_2)}{3} - H_2(u_2) \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_2(u_2, u_2, u_2, 0)}{3}; u_2 \in R; \tag{15}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_3(2u_3)}{2} - H_3(u_3) \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_3(u_3, u_3, 0, 0)}{2}; u_3 \in R; \tag{16}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_4(2u_4)}{2} - H_4(u_4) \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_4(u_4, 0, u_4, 0)}{4}; u_4 \in R. \tag{17}$$

Again alternating,  $u_1$  by  $4u_1$  and divide 4;  $u_2$  by  $3u_2$  and divide 3;  $u_3$  by  $2u_3$  and divide 2;  $u_4$  by  $2u_4$  and divide 2 in above inequalities, they becomes

$$\left\| \frac{H_1(4^2u_1)}{4^2} - \frac{H_1(4u_1)}{4} \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_1(4u_1, 4u_1, 4u_1, 4u_1)}{4^2}; u_1 \in R; \tag{18}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_2(2^2u_2)}{3^2} - \frac{H_2(3u_2)}{3} \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_2(3u_2, 3u_2, 3u_2, 0)}{3^2}; u_2 \in R; \tag{19}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_3(2^2u_3)}{2^2} - \frac{H_3(2u_3)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_3(2u_3, 2u_3, 0, 0)}{2^2}; u_3 \in R; \tag{20}$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_4(2^2u_4)}{2^2} - \frac{H_4(2u_4)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{\tau_4(2u_4, 0, 2u_4, 0)}{2^2}; u_4 \in R. \tag{21}$$

From (3.14) and (3.18) ; (3.15) and (3.19) ; (3.16) and (3.20) ; (3.17) and (3.21) using triangular inequality, we get

$$\left\| \frac{H_1(4^2 u_1)}{4^2} - H_1(u_1) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\tau_1(4u_1, 4u_1, 4u_1, 4u_1)}{4} + \tau_1(u_1, u_1, u_1, u_1) \right); u_1 \in R; \quad (22)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_2(3^2 u_2)}{3^2} - H_2(u_2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\tau_2(3u_2, 3u_2, 3u_2, 0)}{3} + \tau_2(u_2, u_2, u_2, 0) \right); u_2 \in R; \quad (23)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_3(2^2 u_3)}{2^2} - H_3(u_3) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_3(2u_3, 2u_3, 0, 0)}{2} + \tau_3(u_3, u_3, 0, 0) \right); u_3 \in R; \quad (24)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_4(2^2 u_4)}{2^2} - H_4(u_4) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_4(2u_4, 0, 2u_4, 0)}{2} + \tau_4(u_4, 0, u_4, 0) \right); u_4 \in R. \quad (25)$$

The inequalities (3.22), (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25) generalized as follows

$$\left\| \frac{H_1(4^s u_1)}{4^s} - H_1(u_1) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_1(4^q u_1, 4^q u_1, 4^q u_1, 4^q u_1)}{4^q}; u_1 \in R; \quad (26)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_2(3^s u_2)}{3^s} - H_2(u_2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_2(3^q u_2, 3^q u_2, 3^q u_2, 0)}{3^q}; u_2 \in R; \quad (27)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_3(2^s u_3)}{2^s} - H_3(u_3) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_3(2^q u_3, 2^q u_3, 0, 0)}{2^q}; u_3 \in R; \quad (28)$$

$$\left\| \frac{H_4(2^s u_4)}{2^s} - H_4(u_4) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_4(2^q u_4, 0, 2^q u_4, 0)}{2^q}; u_4 \in R. \quad (29)$$

By considering  $u_1$  as  $4^l u_1$  and dividing by  $4^l$  in (3.26),  $u_2$  as  $3^l u_2$  and dividing by  $3^l$  in (3.27),  $u_3$  as  $2^l u_3$  and dividing by  $2^l$  in (3.28),  $u_4$  as  $2^l u_4$  and dividing by  $2^l$  in (3.29), one can obtained that the sequences

$$\left\{ \frac{H_1(4^s u_1)}{4^s} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{H_2(3^s u_2)}{3^s} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{H_3(2^s u_3)}{2^s} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{H_4(2^s u_4)}{2^s} \right\},$$

are Cauchy sequences in  $R$  and  $S$  is complete, there exists a mapping  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 : R \rightarrow S$  such that

$$M_1(u_1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_1(4^{sp} u_1)}{4^{sp}}; M_2(u_2) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_2(3^{sp} u_2)}{3^{sp}};$$

$$M_3(u_3) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_3(2^{sp} u_3)}{2^{sp}}; M_4(u_4) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_4(2^{sp} u_4)}{2^{sp}}$$

for all  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in R$ . Assuming

$$(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}) \text{ as } (4^s u_{11}, 4^s u_{12}, 4^s u_{13}, 4^s u_{14}) \text{ and divide by } 4^s \text{ in (3.1)}$$

$$(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}) \text{ as } (3^s u_{21}, 3^s u_{22}, 3^s u_{23}, 3^s u_{24}) \text{ and divide by } 3^s \text{ in (3.2)}$$

$$(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}) \text{ as } (2^s u_{31}, 2^s u_{32}, 2^s u_{33}, 2^s u_{34}) \text{ and divide by } 2^s \text{ in (3.3)}$$

$$(u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44}) \text{ as } (2^s u_{41}, 2^s u_{42}, 2^s u_{43}, 2^s u_{44}) \text{ and divide by } 2^s \text{ in (3.4),}$$

we arrive the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{H_1(4^s u_{11} + 4^s u_{12} + 4^s u_{13} + 4^s u_{14})}{4^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \frac{H_1(4^s u_{11})}{4^s} + \frac{H_1(4^s u_{12})}{4^s} + \frac{H_1(4^s u_{13})}{4^s} + \frac{H_1(4^s u_{14})}{4^s} \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau_1(4^s u_{11}, 4^s u_{12}, 4^s u_{13}, 4^s u_{14})}{4^s}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{H_2(3^s u_{21} + 3^s u_{22} + 3^s u_{23} - 3^s u_{24})}{3^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \frac{H_2(3^s u_{21})}{3^s} + \frac{H_2(3^s u_{22})}{3^s} + \frac{H_2(3^s u_{23})}{3^s} - \frac{H_2(3^s u_{24})}{3^s} \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau_2(3^s u_{21}, 3^s u_{22}, 3^s u_{23}, 3^s u_{24})}{3^s}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{H_3(2^s u_{31} + 2^s u_{32} - 2^s u_{33} - 2^s u_{34})}{2^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \frac{H_3(2^s u_{31})}{2^s} + \frac{H_3(2^s u_{32})}{2^s} - \frac{H_3(2^s u_{33})}{2^s} - \frac{H_3(2^s u_{34})}{2^s} \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau_3(2^s u_{31}, 2^s u_{32}, 2^s u_{33}, 2^s u_{34})}{2^s}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{H_4(2^s u_{41} - 2^s u_{42} + 2^s u_{43} - 2^s u_{44})}{2^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \frac{H_4(2^s u_{41})}{2^s} - \frac{H_4(2^s u_{42})}{2^s} + \frac{H_4(2^s u_{43})}{2^s} - \frac{H_4(2^s u_{44})}{2^s} \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau_4(2^s u_{41}, 2^s u_{42}, 2^s u_{43}, 2^s u_{44})}{2^s} \end{aligned}$$

and let as taking  $\lim s \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides of the above inequalities, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(u_{11} + u_{12} + u_{13} + u_{14}) &= M_1(u_{11}) + M_1(u_{12}) + M_1(u_{13}) + M_1(u_{14}); \\ M_2(u_{21} + u_{22} + u_{23} - u_{24}) &= M_2(u_{21}) + M_2(u_{22}) + M_2(u_{23}) - M_2(u_{24}); \\ M_3(u_{31} + u_{32} - u_{33} - u_{34}) &= M_3(u_{31}) + M_3(u_{32}) - M_3(u_{33}) - M_3(u_{34}); \\ M_4(u_{41} - u_{42} + u_{43} - u_{44}) &= M_4(u_{41}) - M_4(u_{42}) + M_4(u_{43}) - M_4(u_{44}); \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44} \in R$ . Hence  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$  are additive functions.

To show that  $M_1(u_1), M_2(u_2), M_3(u_3), M_4(u_4)$  are unique. Assume that the another mappings  $M'_1(u_1), M'_2(u_2), M'_3(u_3), M'_4(u_4)$  fullfilling the functional equations (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) respectively.

Now,

$$\|M_1(u_1) - M_1^1(u_1)\| \leq \frac{2}{4} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_1(4^{q+l}u_1, 4^{q+l}u_1, 4^{q+l}u_1, 4^{q+l}u_1)}{4^{q+l}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$\|M_2(u_2) - M_2^1(u_2)\| \leq \frac{2}{3} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_2(3^{q+l}u_2, 3^{q+l}u_2, 3^{q+l}u_2, 0)}{3^{q+l}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$\|M_3(u_3) - M_3^1(u_3)\| \leq \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_3(2^{q+l}u_3, 2^{q+l}u_3, 0, 0)}{2^{q+l}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$\|M_4(u_4) - M_4^1(u_4)\| \leq \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_4(2^{q+l}u_4, 0, 2^{q+l}u_4, 0)}{2^{q+l}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain  $H_1(u_1) = M_1'(u_1), H_2(u_2) = M_2'(u_2), H_3(u_3) = M_3'(u_3), H_4(u_4) = M_4'(u_4)$  are unique. Hence theorem holds for  $p = 1$ .

Now substituting

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}) &= \left(\frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}\right) \text{ in (3.10);} \\ (u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}) &= \left(\frac{u_2}{3}, \frac{u_2}{3}, \frac{u_2}{3}, 0\right) \text{ in (3.11);} \\ (u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}) &= \left(\frac{u_3}{2}, \frac{u_3}{2}, 0, 0\right) \text{ in (3.12);} \\ (u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44}) &= \left(\frac{u_4}{2}, 0, \frac{u_4}{2}, 0\right) \text{ in (3.13),} \end{aligned}$$

we arrive

$$\|H_1(u_1) - 4H_1\left(\frac{u_1}{4}\right)\| \leq \tau_1\left(\frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}, \frac{u_1}{4}\right); u_1 \in R; \tag{30}$$

$$\|H_2(u_2) - 3H_2\left(\frac{u_2}{3}\right)\| \leq \tau_2\left(\frac{u_2}{3}, \frac{u_2}{3}, \frac{u_2}{3}, 0\right); u_2 \in R; \tag{31}$$

$$\|H_3(u_3) - 2H_3\left(\frac{u_3}{2}\right)\| \leq \tau_3\left(\frac{u_3}{2}, \frac{u_3}{2}, 0, 0\right); u_3 \in R; \tag{32}$$

$$\|H_4(u_4) - 2H_4\left(\frac{u_4}{2}\right)\| \leq \tau_4\left(\frac{u_4}{2}, 0, \frac{u_4}{2}, 0\right); u_4 \in R. \tag{33}$$

The rest of the proof is same way to that of case  $p = 1$ . Thus, the proof is complete. □

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\eta, \rho$  be positive integers and assume the mappings  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 : R \rightarrow S$  managing the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H_1(u_{11} + u_{12} + u_{13} + u_{14}) - \{H_1(u_{11}) + H_1(u_{12}) + H_1(u_{13}) + H_1(u_{14})\}\| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \eta; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{1r}\|^\rho; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{1r}\|^{\rho_r}; \\ \eta \prod_{r=0}^4 \|u_{1r}\|^\rho; \\ \eta \prod_{r=0}^4 \|u_{1r}\|^{\rho_r}; \end{cases} \tag{34} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_2(u_{21} + u_{22} + u_{23} - u_{24}) - \{H_2(u_{21}) + H_2(u_{22}) + H_2(u_{23}) - H_2(u_{24})\}\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \eta; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{2r}\|^\rho; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{2r}\|^{\rho_r}; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_3(u_{31} + u_{32} - u_{33} - u_{34}) - \{H_3(u_{31}) + H_3(u_{32}) - H_3(u_{33}) - H_3(u_{34})\}\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \eta; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{3r}\|^\rho; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{3r}\|^{\rho_r}; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_4(u_{41} - u_{42} + u_{43} - u_{44}) - \{H_4(u_{41}) - H_4(u_{42}) + H_4(u_{43}) - H_4(u_{44})\}\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \eta; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{4r}\|^\rho; \\ \eta \sum_{r=1}^4 \|u_{4r}\|^{\rho_r}; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

for all  $u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44} \in R$ . Then there exists a unique mappings  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 : R \rightarrow S$  such that

$$\|H_1(u_1) - M_1(u_1)\| \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \frac{\eta}{|3|}; \\ \frac{\eta 4 \|u_1\|^\rho}{|4-4^\rho|}; \\ \sum_{k=1}^4 \frac{\eta \|u_1\|^{\rho_k}}{|4-4^{\rho_k}|}; \\ \frac{\eta \|u\|^{4\rho}}{|4-4^{4\rho}|}; \\ \frac{\eta \|u\|^{\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3+\rho_4}}{|4-4^{\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3+\rho_4}|}; u_1 \in R \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

$$\|H_2(u_2) - M_2(u_2)\| \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ \frac{\eta}{|2|}; \\ \frac{\eta 3 \|u_2\|^\rho}{|3-3^\rho|}; \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\eta \|u_2\|^{\rho_k}}{|3-3^{\rho_k}|}; u_2 \in R; \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

$$\|H_3(u_3) - M_3(u_3)\| \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ |\eta|; \\ \frac{\eta 2 \|u_3\|^\rho}{|2-2^\rho|}; \\ \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\eta \|u_3\|^{\rho_k}}{|2-2^{\rho_k}|}; u_3 \in R \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

$$\|H_4(u_4) - M_4(u_4)\| \leq \begin{cases} (i) \ |\eta|; \\ \frac{\eta 2 \|u_4\|^\rho}{|2-2^\rho|}; \\ \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\eta \|u_4\|^{\rho_k}}{|2-2^{\rho_k}|}; u_4 \in R \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

*Proof.* Let us take the right hand side of (3.1); (3.2); (3.3); (3.4) as the right hand of (3.34); (3.35); (3.33); (3.37), respectively in Theorem 3.1, we obtain our needed result.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

According to Table 1.1 and after, tasting the food on 4 hotels, we gave some suggestions about the hotels to my friends based on Food, Quality of food, Serves attitude and Issuing tips.

We liked first two hotels  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  because the food was tasty and they served very well. So normally people will go to hotels  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in more number.

Also third Hotel  $H_3$  was self-serviced, so many of them did not like it. The food was not tasty in the fourth Hotel  $H_4$  and no one liked it and none of them will go there.

By Corollary 3.2 for conditions (i) of (3.38); (3.39); (3.40); (3.41), we get the better possible upper bound stability analysis in first two hotels only that is in (3.38); (3.39). In rest of the situations that is in (3.40); (3.41), we cannot get the upper bound.

#### Acknowledgment

This work is dedicated to all the mathematicians those who are working in this research field.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, Functional Equations in Several Variables, Cambridge Univ, Press, 1989.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1950), 64-66.
- [3] M. Arunkumar, Solution and Stability of Arun-Additive functional equations, International Journal Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, Vol 4, No. 3, (2010), 33-46.
- [4] M. Arunkumar and C. Leela Sabari, Solution and stability of a functional equation originating from a chemical equation, International Journal Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, 5 (2) (2011), 1-8.
- [5] M. Arunkumar, S. Hema latha, C. Devi Shaymala Mary, Functional equation originating from arithmetic Mean of consecutive terms of an arithmetic Progression are stable in Banach space: Direct and fixed point method, JP Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 3, Issue 1, (2012), 27-43.
- [6] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, Additive functional equation and inequality are Stable in Banach space and its applications, Malaya Journal of Matematik (MJM), Vol 1, Issue 1, (2013), 10-17.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, Solution and stability of modified additive and quadratic functional equation in generalized 2-normed spaces, International Journal Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, Vol. 7 No. I (January, 2013), 383-391.
- [8] M. Arunkumar, Stability of n- dimensional Additive functional equation in Generalized 2 - normed space, Demonstrato Mathematica 49 (3), (2016), 319 - 330.
- [9] M. Arunkumar, E.Sathya, S. Ramamoorthi, General Solution And Generalized Ulam – Hyers Stability Of A Additive Functional Equation Originating From N Observations Of An Arithmetic Mean In Banach Spaces Using Various Substitutions In Two Different Approaches, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 5(1) (2017), 4–18.
- [10] M.Arunkumar, E.Sathya, S.Karthikeyan, G. Ganapathy, T. Namachivayam, Stability of System of Additive Functional Equations in Various Banach Spaces: Classical Hyers Methods, Malaya Journal of Matematik, Volume 6, Issue 1, 2018, 91-112.
- [11] M. Arunkumar, E.Sathya, C.Pooja, Stability of a functional equation originates from a corona Model with additive solution in banach space using direct and fixed point methods, Recent Advancement of Mathematics in Science and Technology, JPS Scientific Publication India, First Edition, Book Chapter, 2021. (Accepted).
- [12] A. Bodaghi, M. Arunkumar, E.Sathya, Approximate n-dimensional additive functional equation in various Banach Spaces, Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 11(2) (2018), pp. 77–96.
- [13] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184, (1994), 431-436.
- [14] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.,27, (1941) 222-224.
- [15] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac,Th.M. Rassias, Stability of functional equations in several variables, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.

- 
- [16] D.O. Lee, Hyers-Ulam stability of an additive type functional equation, *J. Appl. Math. and Computing*, 13 no.1-2, (2003), 471-477.
- [17] P. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [18] J.M. Rassias, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal. USA*, 46, (1982), 126-130.
- [19] John. M Rassias, M. Arunkumar, E.Sathya, Stability of Difference a –Additive and General Alternative a - Additive Functional Equations, *International Conference on Recent Advances in Technology, Engineering and Science 'July 2016 (ICRATES'16)* ISBN:978-93-5258-740-7.
- [20] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar and J.M. Rassias, On the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Autumn 2008 Vol.3, No. 08, 36-47.
- [21] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc.Amer.Math. Soc.*, 72, (1978), 297-300.
- [22] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions,Wiley, NewYork, 1964 (Chapter VI, Some Questions in Analysis: 1, Stability).